

RANDOM POLYTOPES AND AFFINE SURFACE AREA

CARSTEN SCHÜTT

ABSTRACT. Let K be a convex body in R^d . A random polytope is the convex hull $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of finitely many points chosen at random in K . $\mathbb{E}(K, n)$ is the expectation of the volume of a random polytope of n randomly chosen points. I. Bárány showed that we have for convex bodies with C^3 boundary and everywhere positive curvature

$$c(d) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{vol_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n)}{\left(\frac{vol_d(K)}{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} d\mu(x)$$

where $\kappa(x)$ denotes the Gauß-Kronecker curvature. We show that the same formula holds for all convex bodies if $\kappa(x)$ denotes the generalized Gauß-Kronecker curvature.

1. Introduction

Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$. A random polytope in K is the convex hull of finitely many points in K that are chosen at random with respect to a probability measure on K . Here we consider the normalized Lebesgue measure on K . For a fixed number n of points we are interested in the expectation of the volume of that part of K that is not contained in the convex hull $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the chosen points. We denote

$$\mathbb{E}(K, n) = \int_{K \times \dots \times K} \text{vol}_d([x_1, \dots, x_n]) d\mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ is the n -fold product of the normalized Lebesgue measure on K . We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of

$$\text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) = \int_{K \times \dots \times K} \text{vol}_d(K \setminus [x_1, \dots, x_n]) d\mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

In [R-S1, R-S2] the asymptotic behavior of this expression has been determined for polygons and smooth convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have*

$$c(d) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n)}{\left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K)}{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} d\mu(x)$$

where $\kappa(x)$ is the generalized Gauß-Kronecker curvature and

$$c(d) = 2 \left(\frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \frac{(d+3)(d+1)!}{(d^2 + d + 2)(d^2 + 1)\Gamma(\frac{d^2+1}{d+1})}$$

This problem was posed by Schneider and Wieacker [Schn-W] and Schneider [Schn]. It has been solved by Bárány [B] for convex bodies with C^3 boundary and everywhere positive curvature. Our result holds for arbitrary convex bodies.

The main ingredients of the proof are taken from [B-L], [B], and [Schü-W 1].

We introduce the notion of generalized Gauß-Kronecker curvature. A convex function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called twice differentiable at x_0 in a generalized sense if there are a linear map $d^2 f(x_0) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ so that we have for all $x \in U(x_0)$ and all subdifferentials $df(x)$

$$\| df(x) - df(x_0) - (d^2 f(x_0))(x - x_0) \| \leq \Theta(\| x - x_0 \|) \| x - x_0 \|$$

where $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Theta(t) = \Theta(0) = 0$ and where Θ is a monotone function. $d^2 f(x_0)$ is symmetric and positive semidefinite. If $f(0)=0$ and $df(0)=0$ then the ellipsoid or elliptical cylinder

$$x^t d^2 f(0)x = 1$$

is called the indicatrix of Dupin at 0. The general case is reduced to the case $f(0)=0$ and $df(0)=0$ by an affine transform. The eigenvalues of $d^2 f(0)$ are called the principal curvatures and their product the Gauß-Kronecker curvature $\kappa(0)$. Aleksandrov [A, Ba] proved that a convex surface is almost everywhere differentiable in the generalized sense. As surface measure on ∂K we take the restriction of the (d-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure to ∂K . For $x \in \partial K$ the normal at x to ∂K is denoted by $N(x)$. $N(x)$ is almost everywhere unique. We denote

$$K_t = \{x \in K \mid \text{vol}_d((-x + K) \cap (x - K)) \geq t\}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ with

$$T = \max_{y \in K} \text{vol}_d((-y + K) \cap (y - K))$$

K_t is a convex body and was studied and used in [St, F-R, K, Schm1] and was called convolution body in [K, Schm1]. It was shown in [St, F-R] that K_T consists of one point only. Therefore we may also interpret K_T - in abuse of notation - as a point. For a given $x \in \partial K$ there is a unique point $x_t \in \partial K_t$ that is the intersection of the interval $[K_T, x]$ and ∂K_t .

P_ξ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane orthogonal to ξ and passing through the origin. $B_2^d(x, r)$ is the Euclidean ball in R^d with center x and radius r . B_2^d is the ball with center 0 and radius 1. $H(x, \xi)$ denotes the hyperplane through x and orthogonal to ξ . For a given hyperplane H the closed halfspaces are denoted by H^+ and H^- . Usually H^+ is the halfspace containing K_T if we consider a convex body K .

2. Outline Of The Proof

We outline the proof of Theorem 1. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) &= \int_K \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dx \\ &= - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \int_{K_t} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

The derivative can be computed and we get

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x) dt$$

where μ_t is the surface measure on ∂K_t . We pass to an integral on ∂K instead of ∂K_t .

$$\int \int_0^T \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}((-x + K) \cap (x - K))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle} dt d\mu(x)$$

where x_t is the unique element on ∂K_t that is on the line through K_T and x . Since $\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$ is concentrated for large n near the boundary ∂K we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n)}{\left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K)}{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{\partial K} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d}{\|x\|^d} \frac{\langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt d\mu$$

Then we apply Lebesgue's convergence theorem and obtain

$$\int_{\partial K} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d}{\|x\|^d} \frac{\langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt d\mu$$

The hypothesis of Lebesgue's convergence theorem is fulfilled since the following function dominates the functions under the integral: For every $x \in \partial K$ let $r(x)$ be the largest radius so that

$$(1) \quad B_2^d(x - r(x)N(x), r(x)) \subseteq K$$

$r(x)$ may be 0, e.g. if $N(x)$ is not unique. The functions under the integral are uniformly smaller than a constant times

$$r(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$$

which is integrable on ∂K . Then we show that the expression under the integral converges to $r(x)^{1/(d+1)}$ times an appropriate constant.

3. Proof Of Theorem 1

LEMMA 2. *Let K be a convex body in R^d , f a continuous function on K , and $t \in (0, T)$. Then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{K_t} f(x) dx = - \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{f(x)}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x)$$

where μ_t is the surface measure on ∂K_t .

For $f(x)$ identical to 1 this is an unpublished result of Schmuckenschläger [Schm 2]. A similar result for convex floating bodies instead of convolution bodies can be found in [Schü-W₂].

LEMMA 3. *Let K be a convex body in R^d and K_t , $t \in [0, T]$, the convolution bodies. Then we have for all $t_0 \in [0, T]$*

$$vol_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial K} \int_0^{t_0} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{vol_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d < x, N(x) >}{\|x\|^d < x_t, N(x_t) >} dt d\mu(x) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{vol_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x) dt \end{aligned}$$

where μ and μ_t are the surface measures on ∂K and ∂K_t respectively and $\{x_t\} = \partial K \cap [K_T, x]$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} vol_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) &= \int_{K \times \dots \times K} vol_d(K \setminus [x_1, \dots, x_n]) d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{K \times \dots \times K} \int_K \chi_{K \setminus [x_1, \dots, x_n]} dx d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_K \int_{K \times \dots \times K} \chi_{K \setminus [x_1, \dots, x_n]} d\mathbb{P} dx \\ &= \int_K \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dx \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{K_t} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dx$$

is a bounded, continuous, decreasing function on $[0, T]$ it is absolutely continuous. We get

$$vol_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) = - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \int_{K_t} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dx dt$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$ is a continuous function of x we get by Lemma 2

$$vol_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n) = \int_0^T \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{vol_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x) dt$$

□

LEMMA 4. *Let $\text{cap}(r, \Delta)$ be a cap of height Δ of a d -dimensional Euclidean sphere with radius r . Then we have*

$$2\left(2 - \frac{\Delta}{r}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} \Delta^{\frac{d+1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \text{vol}_d(\text{cap}(r, \Delta)) \leq$$

$$2^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} \Delta^{\frac{d+1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

LEMMA 5. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there are constants $c, c' > 0$ so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$ and for all $r > 0$ with $B_2^d(x - rN(x), r) \subseteq K$*

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K))) \geq \begin{cases} c(tr)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq c'r^d \\ ct^{\frac{d-1}{d}} & \text{if } c'r^d \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

where $\{x_t\} = \partial K_t \cap [x, K_T]$.

PROOF. We may assume that K_T coincides with the origin. By convexity and the fact that K_T is an interior point we get that there is $c_1 > 0$ so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$

$$(2) \quad \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, N(x) \right\rangle \geq c_1$$

Now we choose

$$(3) \quad c = \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{(d+1)\text{vol}_d(B_2^d)} \min\{(1 - \sqrt{1 - c_1^2})^{\frac{d+1}{2}}, (1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}})^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\}$$

Then we have for all $t \in [0, cr^d \text{vol}_d(B_2^d)]$

$$(4) \quad \|x - x_t\| \leq r < \frac{x}{\|x\|}, N(x) >$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2} < | \langle N(x), N(x_t) \rangle |$$

FIGURE 1

Geometrically (4) means the following: Let z be the midpoint of the interval $[K_T, x] \cap B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)$. Then $x_t \in [z, x]$ (see figure 1).

We verify (4). Since $B_2^d(x - rN(x), r) \subseteq K$ we have

$$\text{vol}_d((-z + K) \cap (z - K)) \geq \text{vol}_d((-z + B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)) \cap (z - B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)))$$

The last expression equals twice the volume of a cap whose height is greater than

$$\Delta = r(1 - \sqrt{1 - c_1^2})$$

By Lemma 4 and (3) we get

$$\text{vol}_d((-z + K) \cap (z - K)) \geq 4\left(2 - \frac{\Delta}{r}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} \Delta^{\frac{d+1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

$$\geq 4\text{vol}_d(B^d) \geq 4t \geq t$$

Thus z is an interior point of K_t and $x_t \in [z, x]$. Moreover, $\|z - x\| = r < \frac{x}{\|x\|}, N(x) >$.

Now we check (5). We get by (4) and figure 1 that x_t has to be in the shaded area of figure 2.

FIGURE 2

Assume that (5) is not true. Then it follows that the radius of the sphere $H(x_t, N(x_t)) \cap B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)$ is greater than r and that $H(x_t, N(x_t))$ cuts off a cap of height greater than $(r(1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}))$. By Lemma 4 we get that the volume of this cap is greater than

$$2(2 - \frac{\Delta}{r})^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} r^d (1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}})^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

Therefore we have for the center w of the sphere $B_2^d(x - rN(x), r) \cap H(x_t, N(x_t))$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_d((-w + K) \cap (w - K)) &\geq 2\text{vol}_d(B_2^d(x - rN(x), r) \cap H^-(x_t, N(x_t))) \\ &\geq 4 \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} (1 - \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}})^{\frac{d+1}{2}} r^d \geq 4t > t \end{aligned}$$

This means that w is an interior point of K_t . On the other hand, z is an element of the supporting hyperplane $H(x_t, N(x_t))$ to K_t . This gives a contradiction and we conclude that (5) is valid.

FIGURE 3

We denote $\Theta = \arccos(\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, N(x) \rangle)$.

From figure 3 it follows that the distance of x_t to the boundary of $B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)$ equals

$$(\cos(\Theta) - \sin(\Theta) \cot(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2})) \|x - x_t\|$$

By figure 1 we have $\alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \Theta$ so that the above expression is larger than

$$(6) \quad (\cos(\Theta) - \sin(\Theta) \cot(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\Theta}{2})) \|x - x_t\|$$

Please note that by (2) there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$

$$(7) \quad \cos(\Theta) - \sin(\Theta) \cot(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\Theta}{2}) > \epsilon$$

We assume now that

$$(8) \quad \|x - x_t\| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{t^{\frac{2}{d+1}}}{r^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}} \left(\frac{d+1}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}$$

Then

$$(-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K) \supseteq (-x_t + B_2^d(x - rN(x), r)) \cap (x_t - B_2^d(x - rN(x), r))$$

has a volume greater than twice the volume of a cap of a Euclidean ball of radius r and height (6). By Lemma 4, (6), and (7) we get as above

$$\text{vol}_d((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)) \geq 4t$$

which cannot be true.

Therefore (8) does not hold. We deduce that the distance between the two parallel hyperplanes $H(x, N(x))$ and $H(2x_t - x, -N(x))$ is less than twice the right hand expression of (8). Moreover,

$$(-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K) \subseteq H^+(x, N(x)) \cap H^+(2x_t - x, -N(x))$$

where both half spaces are chosen so that x_t is contained in them. Therefore there must be a hyperplane H parallel to $H(x, N(x))$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{d-1}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K) \cap H) \\ \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} (rt)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \left(\frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})}{d+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \end{aligned}$$

By (5) we get that the same inequality holds for

$$P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K))$$

with another constant.

Now we consider the case

$$cr^d \text{vol}_d(B_2^d) \leq t \leq T$$

Since K is compact there are $r_1, r_2 > 0$ so that

$$(9) \quad B_2^d(K_T, r_1) \subseteq K \subseteq B_2^d(K_T, r_2)$$

Suppose that

$$(10) \quad \text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K))) \leq ct^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$$

with $c \leq r_1 \text{vol}_d(B_2^d)^{\frac{1}{d}}$. This implies that

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)) \cap H(x_t, N(x_t))) \leq ct^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$$

and since $(-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)$ is symmetric with respect to x_t all other $d - 1$ dimensional sections of $(-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)$ that are parallel to $H(x_t, N(x_t))$ have a smaller $d - 1$ dimensional volume. Therefore there must be a non-empty section whose distance to $H(x_t, N(x_t))$ is at least $\frac{1}{2c}t^{\frac{1}{d}}$. This means that there is $z \in K$ with (see figure 4)

$$(11) \quad d(z, H(x_t, N(x_t))) \geq \frac{1}{2c}t^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Let y be the unique point in the intersection

$$[K_T, z] \cap H(x_t, N(x_t))$$

We show that y is an interior point of K_t which contradicts the fact that $y \in H(x_t, N(x_t))$. The sphere

$$[z, B_2^d(K_T, r_1)] \cap H(y, \frac{z - y}{\|z - y\|})$$

has a radius larger than

$$\frac{1}{2c} \frac{r_1}{r_2} t^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

This follows from (11). Thus we find that

$$[z, B_2^d(K_T, r_1)] \supseteq B_2^d(y, \frac{1}{4c} \frac{r_1}{r_2} t^{\frac{1}{d}})$$

and as above that y is an interior point of K_t .

□

LEMMA 6. [Schü-W1] Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r(x)$ as defined by (1). Then we have

$$\int_{\partial K} r(x)^{-\alpha} d\mu(x) < \infty$$

where μ is the surface measure on ∂K .

LEMMA 7. [B-L] Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $x \in \partial K_t$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^{n-i}$$

FIGURE 4

LEMMA 8. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $t_0 \in (0, T]$ and μ_t the surface measure on ∂K_t . Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x) dt = 0$$

PROOF. Since $t \in [t_0, T]$ and $t_0 > 0$ there is a constant $c > 0$ so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K))) \geq c$$

By Lemma 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\partial K_t} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x)}((-x + K) \cap (x - K)))} d\mu_t(x) dt \\ & \leq \frac{2}{c} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\partial K_t} \left(\frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^{n-i} d\mu_t(x) dt \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K_t) \leq \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K)$ we get that the last expression is smaller than

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{c} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K) \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} \int_{t_0}^T \left(\frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^{n-i} dt \\ & \leq \frac{4}{c} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K) \text{vol}_d(K) \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} \frac{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(n-i+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)} \\ & = \frac{4}{c} \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K) \text{vol}_d(K) n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \frac{d}{n+1} \\ & \leq \frac{4}{c} \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial K) \text{vol}_d(K) d n^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 9. Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let K_T be the origin, and $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 < T$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ so that we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(12) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d}{\|x\|^d} \frac{< x, N(x) >}{< x_t, N(x_t) >} dt$$

$$\leq cr(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \int_{\frac{t_1}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}}^{\frac{t_2}{2\text{vol}_d(K)}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} s^{i-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} (1-s)^{n-i} ds$$

where $r(x)$ is defined by (1).

PROOF. We have $\|x_t\| \leq \|x\|$ and we have a constant $c > 0$ so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$ $< x_t, N(x_t) > \geq c$ because $t_2 < T$. Thus it is enough to estimate

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} dt$$

By Lemma 5 this is smaller than

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{c'r^d} c(r(x)t)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dt \\ & + \int_{c'r^d}^{t_2} ct^{-\frac{d-1}{d}} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dt \end{aligned}$$

Since we have for $t \in [c'r^d, t_2]$ that

$$t^{-\frac{d-1}{d}} = t^{-\frac{d-1}{d(d+1)}} t^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \leq (c'r(x))^{-\frac{d-1}{d(d+1)}} t^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$$

we can estimate the above expression by

$$cr(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dt$$

where c is a new constant. Now it is left to apply Lemma 7. $\square$

LEMMA 10. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let K_T be the origin, and let $t_1 < T$. Then there is a constant c so that we have for all $x \in \partial K$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\int_0^{t_1} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d \langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^d \langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt \leq cr(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 9 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t_1} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d \langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^d \langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt \\ & \leq cr(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} \frac{\Gamma(i+1 - \frac{d-1}{d+1}) \Gamma(n+1-i)}{\Gamma(n+2 - \frac{d-1}{d+1})} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{2}{d+1})}{\Gamma(k)} k^{-\frac{2}{d+1}} = 1$$

we can estimate the last expression by

$$cr(x)^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}}$$

where c is a new constant that does not depend on n and x . $\square$

LEMMA 11. *We have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{\frac{\log n}{n}}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} s^{i-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} (1-s)^{n-i} ds = 0$$

LEMMA 12. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let K_T be the origin, and let $t_1 < T$. Then we have for all $x \in \partial K$ with $r(x) > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{\frac{\log n}{n}}^{t_1} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d \langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^d \langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt = 0$$

Proof. The result follows from Lemmata 9 and 11. $\square$

LEMMA 13. *[Wie]*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} (\text{vol}_d(B_2^d(0, r)) - \mathbb{E}(B_2^d(0, r), n)) =$$

$$\frac{(d^2 + d + 2)(d^2 + 1)}{2(d + 3)(d + 1)!} \left((d + 1) \frac{\text{vol}_d(B_2^d)}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d^2 + 1}{d + 1}\right) \text{vol}_{d-1}(\partial B_2^d(0, r))$$

By an affine transform we can change the indicatrix of Dupin into a Euclidean sphere or a cylinder with a sphere as its base.

LEMMA 14. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $0 \in \partial K$ and $N(0) = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$. Suppose that ∂K is twice differentiable in the generalized sense at 0.*

(i) *If the indicatrix of Dupin at 0 is a $d-2$ dimensional sphere with radius $\sqrt{\rho}$, then there is a $t_0 > 0$ and a monotone, increasing function ψ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = \psi(0) = 1$ so that we have for all $t \in (0, t_0]$*

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{\psi(t)}, \dots, \frac{x_{d-1}}{\psi(t)}, t \right) \mid x \in B_2^d((0, \dots, 0, \rho), \rho) \text{ and } x_n = t \right\}$$

$$\subseteq K \cap H(-tN(0), N(0))$$

$$\subseteq \left\{ (\psi(t)x_1, \dots, \psi(t)x_{d-1}, t) \mid x \in B_2^d((0, \dots, 0, \rho), \rho) \text{ and } x_n = t \right\}$$

(ii) *If the indicatrix of Dupin at 0 is a $d-2$ dimensional cylinder with radius $\sqrt{\rho}$,*

$$\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \partial B_2^{d-k}(0, \sqrt{\rho})$$

then there is a function Φ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ so that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $t_0 > 0$ so that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\Phi(t)} = 0$ and $\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\Phi(t)}$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and so that we have for all $t \in (0, t_0]$

$$\{(y, x, t) | (x, t) \in B_2^{d-k+1}((0, \dots, 0, \rho - \epsilon), \rho - \epsilon) \text{ and } y \in [-\Phi(t), \Phi(t)]^{k-1}\}$$

$$\subseteq K \cap H(-tN(0), N(0))$$

LEMMA 15. Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $c > 2$, and $x \in \partial K$ such that $\kappa(x) > 0$. Then there is $t_c > 0$ so that we have for all $t \in (0, t_c]$: We have for the hyperplane H whose normal coincides with $N(x)$ and that satisfies $\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-) = c^{d+1}t$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq \frac{\text{vol}_d(K)}{ct}$

$$|\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} - \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}|$$

$$< 2^{d-1}e^{-c_1 c}$$

where c_1 is a constant that depends on d only.

PROOF. We show that

$$\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} \text{ and } x_t \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \leq 2^{d-1}e^{-c_1 c}$$

If we have

$$x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} \text{ and } x_t \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

then there is $y \in H^+ \cap K$ so that

$$(13) \quad [y, x_t] \cap [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-] = \emptyset$$

For the following argument let us assume that $N(x) = e_1$ and $x_t = 0$. Moreover, since $\kappa(x) > 0$ we may assume that the indicatrix of Dupin at x is a Euclidean sphere and by Lemma 14 ∂K can be approximated arbitrary well at x by a sphere if we choose the height of the cap or correspondingly t_c sufficiently small. We assume for the following arguments that $K \cap H^-$ is a cap of a sphere. Later we shall see that we control the error by choosing t_c sufficiently small. We consider the following sets (figure 5)

FIGURE 5

$$\text{corn}_\Theta = K \cap H^- \cap H^+(x_t, N(x)) \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{i=2}^d H^-(x_t, e_1 + \Theta_i \lambda e_i) \right\}$$

where $\Theta_2, \dots, \Theta_d = \pm 1$. We have 2^{d-1} sets and they are best described as corner sets. λ is chosen so that

$$H^-(x_t, e_1 + \Theta_i \lambda e_i) \cap H^+$$

consists of exactly one point.

By the height h_1 of corn_Θ we understand the minimal distance of $H(x_t, N(x))$ and a parallel hyperplane so that corn_Θ lies between them. We get

$$\text{vol}_d(\text{corn}_\Theta) \geq 2^{-d+1} \frac{h_1}{d} \text{vol}_{d-1}(K \cap H(x_t, N(x)))$$

Let h_2 denote the height of the cap $K \cap H^-(x_t, N(x))$ and h_3 the height of $K \cap H^-$. By Lemma 4 we get that

$$2c^{d+1} = \frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-(x_t, N(x)))} \leq 2^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \left(\frac{h_3}{h_2} \right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

or

$$h_2 c^2 \leq 2h_3$$

The height h_1 is of the order $\frac{h_3}{d}$. Altogether we get

$$(14) \quad \text{vol}_d(\text{corn}_\Theta) \geq 2^{-d+1} \frac{c^2 h_3}{d} \text{vol}_{d-1}(K \cap H(x_t, N(x))) \geq c^2 \frac{h_3}{d} \text{vol}_{d-1}(K \cap H(x_t, N(x)))$$

where c_2 is a constant depending only on d . We have by (13)

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-] \text{ and } x_t \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \subseteq$$

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \exists H_{x_t} : x_t \in H_{x_t}, H_{x_t}^- \cap K \cap H^+ \neq \emptyset \text{ and } H_{x_t}^+ \supset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-\}$$

Indeed, by the theorem of Hahn-Banach there is a hyperplane H_{x_t} separating the convex sets $[\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]$ and the ray $\{x_t + \lambda(y - x_t) | \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. By (13) they are disjoint. We may assume that at least one point of the ray is an element of H_{x_t} . So x_t is also an element of H_{x_t} . Let $H_{x_t}^-$ be the halfspace containing y , then $H_{x_t}^- \cap K \cap H^+$ contains y and is not empty.

Such a halfspace always contains one of the corner sets corn_Θ . This follows since we have in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for a hyperplane passing through the origin: The corresponding halfspaces contain at least one 2^d -tant. Therefore we get

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \exists H_{x_t} : H_{x_t}^- \cap K \cap H^+ \neq \emptyset \text{ and } H_{x_t}^+ \supset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-\}$$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\Theta} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq K \setminus \text{corn}_\Theta\}$$

And consequently we get by (14)

$$\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-] \text{ and } x_t \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$$

$$\leq 2^{d-1} \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K \setminus \text{corn}_\Theta\}$$

$$\leq 2^{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{c_2 c^2 t}{\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)^n \leq 2^{d-1} \exp\left(-n \frac{c_2 c^2 t}{\text{vol}_d(K)}\right)$$

By the assumption on n we get that the last expression is smaller than

$$2^{d-1} \exp(-c_2 c)$$

This argument also works if the volumes of the considered sets differ by a small error. Therefore the proof also goes through if K is not a sphere but can be approximated arbitrarily well by a sphere at the point x . $\square$

LEMMA 17. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and B a Euclidean ball of the same volume. Let $x \in \partial K$ and $z \in \partial B$ and assume that $\kappa(x) > 0$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $t_\epsilon > 0$ so that we have for all $t \in (0, t_\epsilon]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2d$*

$$(15) \quad \mathbb{P}\{(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) | \tilde{x}_t \in [\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n]\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \in [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \leq \epsilon$$

PROOF. We show first that there is $c > 1$ so that we have (15) whenever $n \leq \frac{vol_d(K)}{ct}$ or $n \geq c \frac{vol_d(K)}{t}$. As c we can choose a number satisfying

$$c \geq \max\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}, d\}$$

$$(16) \quad (d4c^{d+2})^{d+1}e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} < \epsilon$$

$$2^{d-1}e^{-c_1c} < \epsilon$$

where c_1 is the constant introduced in Lemma 15.

We consider the case $n \leq \frac{vol_d(K)}{ct}$. Since the curvature at x is strictly positive we may assume that the indicatrix of Dupin is a sphere. If we choose t_ϵ small enough then by Lemma 14 there is a hyperplane H through x_t , $0 < t \leq t_\epsilon$, so that $vol_d(K \cap H^-) \leq t$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \geq \mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq K \cap H^+\} \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{t}{vol_d(K)})^n \geq (1 - \frac{1}{cn})^n \geq 1 - \frac{1}{c} \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\mathbb{P}_B$ and we get (15). Now we consider the case $n \geq 2c \frac{vol_d(K)}{t}$. By Lemma 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} (\frac{t}{2vol_d(K)})^i (1 - \frac{t}{2vol_d(K)})^{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

The function $s^i(1-s)^{n-i}$ attains its maximum at $\frac{i}{n}$. Since $i < d$, $d \leq c$, and $2d \leq n$ we get that the latter expression is less than

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{n}{i} (\frac{c}{n})^i (1 - \frac{c}{n})^{n-i} \leq dc^d \exp(-\frac{c}{2}) \leq \epsilon$$

The same holds for $\mathbb{P}_B$ and we get (15) again.

Now we consider the case $\frac{vol_d(K)}{ct} \leq n \leq c \frac{vol_d(K)}{t}$. By triangle inequality we get

$$|\mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} - \mathbb{P}_B\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) | z_t \notin [z_1, \dots, z_n]\}| \leq$$

$$+|\mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n)|x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} - \mathbb{P}_B\{(z_1, \dots, z_n)|z_t \notin [\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \cap \tilde{H}^-]\}|$$

$$+|\mathbb{P}_B\{(z_1, \dots, z_n)|z_t \notin [z_1, \dots, z_n]\} - \mathbb{P}_B\{(z_1, \dots, z_n)|z_t \notin [\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \cap \tilde{H}^-]\}|$$

where H and $\tilde{H}$ are hyperplanes whose normals coincide with $N(x)$ and $N(z)$ respectively and $\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-) = \text{vol}_d(B \cap \tilde{H}^-) = c^{d+1}t$. The first and third summands of the latter expression can be estimated by Lemma 15. We estimate now the second summand. Again, we may assume that the indicatrix of Dupin at $x \in \partial K$ is a Euclidean sphere. Moreover, we may assume that the radius of the indicatrix equals the radius of B . This is done by a volume preserving, affine transform. We have

$$\mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n)|x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} =$$

(17)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K)} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K)} \right)^{n-k} \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-}\{(x_1, \dots, x_k)|x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\}$$

and the same for $\mathbb{P}_B$. We get by Lemma 7 for $k \geq 4dc^{d+2}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-}\{(x_1, \dots, x_k)|x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\} \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{k}{i} \left(\frac{1}{2c^{d+1}} \right)^i \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c^{d+1}} \right)^{k-i} \leq 2dk^d e^{-\frac{1}{4}kc^{-d-1}} \end{aligned}$$

The function $s^d e^{-as}$ attains its maximum at $\frac{d}{a}$. Therefore, and because of $4dc^{d+2} \leq k$ the last expression is smaller than

$$2d(d4c^{d+2})^d e^{-dc} < \epsilon$$

We get the same for $\mathbb{P}_B$. Therefore we have

$$|\mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n)|x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap H^-]\} - \mathbb{P}_B\{(z_1, \dots, z_n)|z_t \notin [\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \cap \tilde{H}^-]\}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 4dc^{d+2}} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K)} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K)} \right)^{n-k}$$

On the other hand, if we choose t_ϵ sufficiently small we have for all $t \in (0, t_\epsilon]$ and all $k, 0 \leq k \leq 4dc^{d+2}$

$$(18) \quad |\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\} - \mathbb{P}_{B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(z_1, \dots, z_k) | z_t \notin [z_1, \dots, z_k]\}| < \epsilon$$

This finishes the proof. We establish now (18). For $k = 0, \dots, d$ the difference is trivially 0. Now we assume that $x = z$ and $N(x) = N(z)$. We have that

$$(19) \quad \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-]\} =$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)} \right)^{k-m}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_m]\}$$

If we choose t_ϵ small enough we have by Lemma 14 for all $t \in (0, t_\epsilon]$ that

$$1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}$$

is so small that we get by (19) and $k \leq 4dc^{d+2}$

$$(20) \quad |\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-]\} \leq$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\} + e^{-16dc}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\}$$

$$(21) \quad \geq \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K \cap H^+(x_t, N(x))\}$$

$$\geq (1 - c^{-d-1})^{4dc^{d+2}} \geq e^{-8dc}$$

The last inequality holds because we have $1 - \frac{1}{s} \geq e^{-2s}$ for $s \geq 2$. By (20) and (21) we get now

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-]\} \\ & \leq (1 + \exp(-c)) \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k]\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \} \leq \\
& \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-] \} \\
& \leq (1 + e^{-c}) \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \} \\
& \leq (1 + e^{-c}) \left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-)} \right)^k \\
& \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \text{ and } \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset B \cap \tilde{H}^- \} \\
& \leq (1 + e^{-c}) \left(\frac{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-)}{\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-)} \right)^k \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get that

$$|\mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \} - \mathbb{P}_{K \cap H^- \cap B \cap \tilde{H}^-} \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_k] \}| < \epsilon$$

if we choose c sufficiently big. We have the same inequality for $\mathbb{P}_{B \cap \tilde{H}^-}$. This implies (18). $\square$

LEMMA 18. *Let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \partial K$. Suppose that ∂K is twice differentiable at x in the generalized sense. Then we have*

$$(i) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} = 1$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} = \kappa(x)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \left(\frac{2}{d+1} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})^{-\frac{2}{d+1}}$$

PROOF. (i) The same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5 are applied. We just sketch the argument. Suppose (i) is not true. Then we find a supporting hyperplane $H(x_t, N(x_t))$ so that x_t is very close to x but $N(x_t)$ is not close to $N(x)$. By the assumption we have that all the points in the set $H(x_t, N(x_t)) \cap K$ do not belong to the interior of K_t . On the other hand, the volume $\text{vol}_d(K \cap H^-(x_t, N(x_t)))$ is so big that we can single out a point in $H(x_t, N(x_t)) \cap K$ that is in the interior of K_t .

(ii) We consider the case $\kappa(x) > 0$. The case $\kappa(x) = 0$ is treated in an analogous way. By Lemma 14 K can be approximated by an ellipsoid. We may assume it is

a Euclidean sphere. By (i) $\langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle$ is as close to $\langle x, N(x) \rangle$ as we choose it to be for small t . Altogether we have that

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))$$

is up to some error equal to

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(H(x_t, N(x)) \cap K)$$

or

$$\text{vol}_{d-1}(H(x_t, N(x)) \cap B_2^d(x - \kappa(x)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} N(x), \kappa(x)^{-\frac{1}{d-1}}))$$

It is left to apply Lemma 4. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We may assume that K_T coincides with the origin. By Lemma 3 and 8 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n)}{(\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_{\partial K} \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d \langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^d \langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt d\mu$$

provided the limit exists. We apply now Lebesgue's convergence theorem in order to change limit and integration over ∂K . The hypothesis of Lebesgue's theorem is fulfilled because of Lemma 6 and 10. By Lemma 12 we get that the latter expression equals

$$\int_{\partial K} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} \frac{\|x_t\|^d \langle x, N(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^d \langle x_t, N(x_t) \rangle} dt d\mu$$

By Lemma 18 this expression equals

$$\int_{\partial K} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(P_{N(x_t)}((-x_t + K) \cap (x_t - K)))} dt d\mu$$

By Lemma 18 (ii) we get

$$\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} d\mu \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \frac{(\frac{2}{d+1})^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\}}{t^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}} dt$$

By Lemma 17 we have for $x \in \partial K$ with $\kappa(x) > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} t^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \mathbb{P}_K\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_t \notin [x_1, \dots, x_n]\} dt$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} t^{-\frac{d-1}{d+1}} \mathbb{P}_B \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) | z_t \notin [z_1, \dots, z_n]\} dt$$

where B is a Euclidean ball whose volume is the same as that of K . The limit for B exists by Lemma 13. Thus we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_d(K) - \mathbb{E}(K, n)}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} d\mu \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{d+1}} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{d+1}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(B_2^{d-1})^{\frac{2}{d+1}}} \int_0^{\frac{\log n}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_B \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) | z_t \notin [z_1, \dots, z_n]\}}{t^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}} dt$$

Since this formula holds for all convex bodies it holds in particular for the Euclidean ball. By Lemma 13 we determine the coefficient. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [A] A.D. Aleksandrov, *Almost everywhere existence of the second differential of a convex function and some properties of convex surfaces connected with it*, Uchenye Zapiski Leningrad Gos. Univ., Math. Ser. **6** (1939), 3–35.
- [Ba] V. Bangert, *Analytische Eigenschaften konvexer Funktionen auf Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **307** (1979), 309–324.
- [B] I. Bárány, *Pandom polytopes in smooth convex bodies*, Mathematika **39** (1992), 81–92.
- [B-L] I. Bárány and D.G. Larman, *Convex bodies, economic cap covering, random polytopes*, Mathematika **35** (1988), 274–291.
- [F-R] I. Fáry and L. Rédei, *Der zentralsymmetrische Kern und die zentralsymmetrische Hülle von konvexen Körpern*, Math. Ann. **122** (1950), 205–220.
- [G] B. Grünbaum, *Measures of symmetry for convex sets*, Convexity, Proceedings of Symposium in Pure Mathematics (V.L. Klee, ed.), AMS, 1963, pp. 233–270.
- [K] K. Kiener, *Extremalität von Ellipsoiden und die Faltungsungleichung von Sobolev*, Arch. Math. **46** (1986), 162–168.
- [R-S1] A. Rényi and R. Sulanke, *Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten*, Zeitschr. Wahrschein. verwandte Geb. **2** (1963), 75–84.
- [R-S2] A. Rényi and R. Sulanke, *Über die konvexe Hülle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten II*, Zeitschr. Wahrschein. verwandte Geb. **3** (1964), 138–147.
- [Schm1] M. Schmuckenschläger, *The distribution function of the convolution square of a convex symmetric body in R^n* , Israel J. Math. **78** (1992), 309–334.
- [Schm2] M. Schmuckenschläger, *Notes*.
- [Schn] R. Schneider, *Random approximation of convex sets*, J. Microscopy **151** (1988), 211–227.
- [Schn-Wie] R. Schneider and J.A. Wieacker, *Random polytopes in a convex body*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **52** (1980), 69–73.
- [Schü-W 1] C. Schütt and E. Werner, *The convex floating body*, Math. Scand. **66** (1990), 275–290.
- [Schü-W 2] C. Schütt and E. Werner, *The convex floating body of almost polygonal bodies*, Geometriae Dedicata **44** (1992), 169–188.
- [St] S. Stein, *The symmetry function in a convex body*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), 145–148.
- [Wie] J.A. Wieacker, *Einige Probleme der polyedrischen Approximation*, Diplomarbeit, Freiburg im Breisgau, 1978.